

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,948	11/24/2003	Paul R. Gagnon	03-482	5271
34704	7590 08/29/2005		EXAMINER	
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C.			ARYANPOUR, MITRA	
	900 CHAPEL STREET SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			PAPER NUMBER
NEW HAVE				3711
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/720,948	GAGNON, PAUL R.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mitra Aryanpour	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comprollowing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 12 August 2005. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c)⊠ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
appeal, and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: Please see attached continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendment canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit was the sufficient reasons who it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after (entry is below or attached.				

MITRA ARYANPOUR PRIMARY EXAMINER

13. Other: ____.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Art Unit: 3711

Continuation Sheet:

The proposed amendments filed raises new issues that will require further search and/or consideration. A cursory review of the prior art of record shows that Morgan et al's patch has a thickness of at least ¼" (see figures 3-5). It should be noted that claim 1, merely requires a piece of material that has a thickness of at least ¼" and claim 6, requires a piece of material having a thickness and an adhesive coating or layer. The remainder of the claims is intended use.

The newly proposed amendments to the Drawings would be entered if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment.

The newly proposed amendments to the Specification would be entered if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment.